EE464 Nullstellensatz

Feasibility Problems and Duality

Suppose $f_1, \ldots, f_m$ are polynomials, and consider the feasibility problem

does there exist $x \in \mathbb{K}^n$ such that
$f_i(x) = 0 \qquad$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, m$

Every polynomial in $\mathrm{ideal}\{f_1, \ldots, f_m\}$ is zero on the feasible set.

So if $1 \in \mathrm{ideal}\{f_1, \ldots, f_m\}$, then the primal problem is infeasible. Again, this is proof by contradiction.

Equivalently, the primal is infeasible if there exist polynomials $h_1, \ldots, h_m \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ such that

$$1 = h_1(x)f_1(x) + \cdots + h_m(x)f_m(x) \qquad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{K}^n$$

Strong Duality

So far, we have seen examples of weak duality. The *Hilbert Nullstellensatz* gives a *strong duality* result for polynomials over the complex field.

The Nullstellensatz

Suppose $f_1, \ldots, f_m \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Then

$$1 \in \text{ideal}\{f_1, \ldots, f_m\} \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{C}}\{f_1, \ldots, f_m\} = \emptyset$$

Algebraically Closed Fields

For complex polynomials $f_1, \ldots, f_m \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, we have

$$1 \in \text{ideal}\{f_1, \ldots, f_m\} \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \mathcal{V}\{f_1, \ldots, f_m\} = \emptyset$$

This *does not hold* for polynomials and varieties over the real numbers.

For example, suppose $f(x) = x^2 + 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}\{f\} &= \{\, x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) = 0 \,\} \\ &= \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

But $1 \notin \text{ideal}\{f\}$, since any multiple of f will have degree ≥ 2.

The above results requires an *algebraically closed field*. Later, we will see a version of this result that holds for real varieties.

The Nullstellensatz and Feasibility Problems

The primal problem:

does there exist $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that
$f_i(x) = 0 \qquad$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, m$

The dual problem:

do there exist $h_1, \ldots, h_m \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ such that
$$1 = h_1 f_1 + \cdots + h_m f_m$$

The Nullstellensatz implies that these are *strong alternatives*. Exactly one of the above problems is feasible.

Example: Nullstellensatz

Consider the polynomials

$$f_1(x) = x_1^2 \qquad\qquad f_2(x) = 1 - x_1 x_2$$

There is no $x \in \mathbb{C}^2$ which simultaneously satisfies $f_1(x) = 0$ and $f_2(x) = 0$; i.e.,

$$\mathcal{V}\{f_1, f_2\} = \emptyset$$

Hence the Nullstellensatz implies there exists h_1, h_2 such that

$$1 = h_1(x) f_1(x) + h_2(x) f_2(x)$$

One such pair is

$$h_1(x) = x_2^2 \qquad\qquad h_2(x) = 1 + x_1 x_2$$

Interpretations of the Nullstellensatz

- The feasibility question asks; do the polynomials $f_1, \ldots, f_m$ have a *common root*?

  The Nullstellensatz is a *Bézout identity*. In the scalar case, the dual problem is: do the polynomials have a *common factor*?

- Suppose we look at $f \in \mathbb{C}[x]$, a scalar polynomial with complex coefficients. The feasibility problem is: does it have a root?

  The Nullstellensatz says it has a root if and only if there is no polynomial $h \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ such that $1 = hf$

  Since $\mathrm{degree}(hf) \geq \mathrm{degree}(f)$, there is no such h if $\mathrm{degree}(f) \geq 1$; i.e. all polynomials f with $\mathrm{degree}(f) \geq 1$ have a root.

  So the Nullstellensatz generalizes the fundamental theorem of algebra.

Interpretation: Partition of Unity

The equation

$$1 = h_1 f_1 + \cdots + h_m f_m$$

is called a *partition of unity*.

For example, when $m = 2$, we have

$$1 = h_1(x) f_1(x) + h_2(x) f_2(x) \qquad \text{for all } x$$

Let $V_i = \Big\{ x \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid f_i(x) = 0 \Big\}$.

Let $q(x) = h_1(x) f_1(x)$. Then for $x \in V_1$, we have $q(x) = 0$, and hence the second term $h_2(x) f_2(x)$ equals one. Conversely, for $x \in V_2$, we must have $q(x) = 1$.

Since $q(x)$ cannot be both zero and one, we must have $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset$.

Interpretation: Certificates

The functions $h_1, \ldots, h_m$ give a *certificate of infeasibility* for the primal problem.

Given the h_i, one may immediately computationally verify that

$$1 = h_1 f_1 + \cdots + h_m f_m$$

and this proves that $\mathcal{V}\{f_1, \ldots, f_m\} = \emptyset$

Duality

The notion of duality here is parallel to that for linear functionals.

Compare, for $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\mathcal{I}(S) = \left\{ f \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \;\middle|\; f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in S \right\}$$

with

$$S^{\perp} = \left\{ p \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^* \;\middle|\; \langle p, x \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } x \in S \right\}$$

- There is a pairing between $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$; we can view either as a space of functionals on the other
- The same holds between $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$
- If $S \subset T$, then $S^{\perp} \supset T^{\perp}$ and $\mathcal{I}(S) \supset \mathcal{I}(T)$

Feasibility and the Ideal-Variety Correspondence

Given polynomials $f_1, \ldots, f_m \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, we define two objects

- the ideal $I = \text{ideal}\{f_1, \ldots, f_m\}$
- the variety $V = \mathcal{V}\{f_1, \ldots, f_m\}$

We have the following results:

(i) *weak duality*:

$$V = \emptyset \qquad \Longleftarrow \qquad 1 \in I$$

(ii) *Nullstellensatz* (strong duality):

$$V = \emptyset \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad 1 \in I$$

(iii) *Strong Nullstellensatz*:

$$\sqrt{I} = \mathcal{I}(V)$$

Computation

The feasibility problem is equivalent to the *ideal membership problem*; is it true that

$$1 \in \text{ideal}\{f_1, \ldots, f_m\}$$

Equivalently, are there polynomials $h_1, \ldots, h_m \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ such that

$$1 = h_1 f_1 + \cdots + h_m f_m$$

How do we compute this?

- The above equation is linear in the coefficients of h; so if we have a bound on the degree of the h_i we can easily find them.
- Since the feasibility problem is NP-hard, the bound must grow exponentially with the size of the f_i.

Ideals and Division

for $f \in \mathbb{K}[x]$, the *leading term* of f is the term with highest degree

e.g., $f = 7x^3 + 3x + 1$ has leading term $\mathrm{lt}(f) = 7x^3$

for polynomials, it's simple to divide them

$$
\begin{array}{r|l}
 & 3x + 1 \\
\cline{2-2}
x^2 + x + 1 & 3x^3 + 4x^2 + 5x + 2 \\
 & 3x^3 + \ 3x^2 + 3x \\
\cline{2-2}
 & x^2 + 2x + 2 \\
 & x^2 + \ x + 1 \\
\cline{2-2}
 & x + 1
\end{array}
$$

division algorithm

algorithm is

$$
\begin{aligned}
&q = 0; \quad r = f; \\
&\text{while } r \neq 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{lt}(g) \text{ divides } \operatorname{lt}(r) \\
&\qquad\qquad q = q + \operatorname{lt}(r)/\operatorname{lt}(g) \\
&\qquad\qquad r = r - g\operatorname{lt}(r)/\operatorname{lt}(g)
\end{aligned}
$$

it works because

- at the end of every iteration, $f = qg + r$ holds
- and $\deg(r)$ drops by at least 1
- it stops when $r = 0$ or $\deg(r) < \deg(g)$

division theorem

suppose $f, g \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ and $g \neq 0$; then there exists unique $q, r \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ such that

$$f = qg + r$$

and either $r = 0$ or $\deg(r) < \deg(g)$

it's a smart way of solving a Toeplitz system of linear equations, e.g., if $\deg(f) = 6$ and $\deg(g) = 4$

$$\begin{bmatrix} f_0 \\ f_1 \\ f_2 \\ f_3 \\ f_4 \\ f_5 \\ f_6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} g_0 & & \\ g_1 & g_0 & \\ g_2 & g_1 & g_0 \\ g_3 & g_2 & g_1 \\ g_4 & g_3 & g_2 \\ & g_4 & g_3 \\ & & g_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q_0 \\ q_1 \\ q_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} r_0 \\ r_1 \\ r_2 \\ r_3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

ideals and division

if $I \subset \mathbb{K}[x]$ is an ideal then there is a polynomial g which generates it; i.e.,

$$I = \text{ideal}\{g\}$$

this is true only for *polynomials in one variable*

- so the set

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \text{ideal}\{f_1, \ldots, f_m\} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m} h_i f_i \;\middle|\; h_i \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \right\} \end{aligned}$$

  can be generated using just one polynomial g; such an ideal is called a *principle ideal*

- in other words, every polynomial in I is a multiple of g

ideals of one-variable polynomials

in fact, we can pick g to be the polynomial of minimum degree in I

$$I = \text{ideal}\{g\}$$

then for any $f \in I$ we have

$$f = qg + r$$

and so $r = f - qg$ which implies $r \in I$ also; but we cannot have $\deg(r) < \deg(g)$ since g has minimum degree, so we must have $r = 0$

in fact g is unique up to multiplication by a constant

the greatest common divisor

polynomial $h \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ is called a *greatest common divisor* of $f_1, \ldots, f_m$ if

(i) h divides all of the f_i

(ii) any other p that divides all the f_i also divides h

in fact, in $\mathbb{K}[x]$ the GCD is the generator of the ideal

$$\text{ideal}\{f_1, \ldots, f_m\} = \text{ideal}\{\text{gcd}\{f_1, \ldots, f_m\}\}$$

the greatest common divisor

let's show this; we know that there is some polynomial g such that

$$\text{ideal}\{f_1, \ldots, f_m\} = \text{ideal}\{g\}$$

to show it's a GCD, notice that

(i) g divides all the f_i

(ii) if any other p divides all the f_i then $f_i = q_i p$ for some q_i

but since $g \in \text{ideal}\{f_1, \ldots, f_m\}$ we must have

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \alpha_1 f_1 + \cdots + \alpha_m f_m \\ &= (\alpha_1 q_1 + \cdots + \alpha_m q_m) p \end{aligned}$$

so p divides g

computing the GCD

if we can compute the GCD of two polynomials, we can compute it for many, since

$$\gcd\{f_1, \gcd\{f_2, f_3\}\} = \gcd\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$$

Euclidean algorithm (300 B.C.)

to compute $h = \gcd\{f, g\}$, construct a sequence of polynomials

$$s_0, s_1, s_2, \ldots$$

start with $s_0 = f$ and $s_1 = g$, and define the next in sequence by

$$s_{k+1} = \text{remainder}(s_{k-1}, s_k)$$

stop when $s_n = 0$, then $s_{n-1} = \gcd(f, g)$

this works because if $f = qg + r$ then

$$\gcd(f, g) = \gcd(f - qg, g) = \gcd(r, g)$$

$$\text{ideal}\{f, g\} = \text{ideal}\{f - qg, g\} = \text{ideal}\{r, g\}$$

example

start with s_0 and s_1

$$s_0 = -3 - 2\,x - x^2 + 3\,x^6 + 2\,x^7 + x^8$$
$$s_1 = 3 - x - 3\,x^2 + x^3$$

let s_2 be the remainder on dividing s_0 by s_1

$$s_2 = -1638 + 1638\,x^2$$

let s_3 be the remainder on dividing s_1 by s_2

$$s_3 = 0$$

and normalizing gives $x^2 - 1 = \gcd(s_0, s_1)$

testing ideal membership

to test if $f \in \text{ideal}\{f_1, \ldots, f_m\}$

- compute $g = \gcd\{f_1, \ldots, f_m\}$
- then f is in the ideal if and only if g divides f

computing the coefficients

since $g \in \text{ideal}\{f_1, \ldots, f_m\}$ we know there are polynomials $h_1, \ldots, h_m$ such that

$$g = h_1 f_1 + \cdots + h_m f_m$$

if we know these, we can express any f in the ideal in terms of the basis

computing the coefficients

the Euclidean algorithm allows us to find the h_i such that

$$\gcd\{f_1, f_2\} = h_1 f_1 + h_2 f_2$$

in particular, when there is no solution to $f_1(x) = f_2(x) = 0$, this will give the *Nullstellensatz certificate*

to see this, suppose the algorithm terminates with $s_n = 0$;
we have $s_{k-1} = q_k s_k + s_{k+1}$ for some q_k, so

$$s_{k+1} = s_{k-1} - q_k s_k$$

so we can write the gcd s_{n-1} in terms of s_{n-2} and s_{n-3}, and then continue substituting until we have

$$s_{n-1} = \alpha_1 s_0 + \alpha_2 s_1$$

example: Nullstellensatz refutation

suppose we have

$$f_1 = -1 + 5\,x^5 + x^8 \qquad f_2 = 1 - 2\,x + x^6$$

we find $\gcd\{f_1, f_2\} = 1$, so $1 \in \text{ideal}\{f_1, f_2\}$; i.e., the primal problem is infeasible

the certificate is

$$h_1 = \frac{1}{48065}(-65287 + 3472\,x + 5457\,x^2 + 9892\,x^3 + 19922\,x^4 + 36157\,x^5)$$

$$h_2 = \frac{1}{48065}(-17222 - 30972\,x - 56487\,x^2 - 103082\,x^3 - 186242\,x^4$$

so far

- we have discussed the one-to-one correspondence between ideals and varieties.
- this allows us to convert questions about feasibility of varieties into questions about ideal membership
- but only over the complex numbers

we can compute certificates directly using

- linear algebra
- division algorithms, for polynomials in one variable